

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Orland Park, Illinois; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Ying Huang, San Francisco + 1 (415) 371 5008; ying.huang@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Helen Samuelson, Chicago + 1 (312) 233 7011; helen.samuelson@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Credit Highlights

Outlook

Related Research

Summary:

Orland Park, Illinois; General Obligation

Credit Profile

US\$11.775 mil GO bnds ser 2023 due 12/01/2048

Long Term Rating AA+/Stable New

Orland Pk Vill GO

Long Term Rating AA+/Stable Affirmed

Credit Highlights

- S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA+' long-term rating to Orland Park, Ill.'s anticipated \$11.775 million series 2023 general obligation (GO) bonds.
- At the same time, we affirmed our 'AA+' long-term rating on the village's existing GO bonds.
- The outlook is stable.

Security

The GO bonds are secured by the village's full faith and credit unlimited tax GO pledge. The series 2023 bond proceeds will finance various capital improvements throughout the village. Village officials expect to issue about \$39 million of additional debt in the next couple of years, a portion of which is expected to be self-supported by water and sewer revenues.

Credit overview

Orland Park is a village with a significant retail presence situated in the southwest Cook County suburbs. Maintaining a healthy economic base is a key long-term goal, and officials have been working on a variety of economic development projects throughout the village. In order to continue development in and around the Main Street Triangle TIF (Tax Increment Financing) District that was created in 2004, the village is amending the TIF district's existing boundary and designating a new TIF district on some of the parcels currently in the TIF district. In addition, management anticipates continued momentum on residential and commercial developments for the next couple of years.

Orland Park is a home rule unit of government that is empowered to adjust tax revenue and issue debt without voter referendum. Officials recently adopted structural expenditure reductions that benefitted both the 2020 and the 2021 operations. The village posted a \$7.1 million operating surplus in fiscal 2021, mainly attributable to increased sales tax and income tax revenues as well as lower overall expenditures. Its general fund relies mainly on state-shared sales taxes (44% of its total revenues in fiscal 2021) and property tax revenue (19% of total revenue). To support the village's continued development, officials have planned for significant capital investments to be funded with reserve drawdowns and additional debt in the next few years. For fiscal 2022, officials project a modest general fund deficit of \$5 million (after \$14.3 million in net transfers out to support capital projects and term loan debt service) and a \$10.3 million surplus across total governmental funds, due to higher-than-budgeted sales tax and income tax revenues. The fiscal 2023 budget contains a \$12.8 million use of general fund reserves after transfers. However, officials continue to project

better-than-budgeted results of a \$4.5 million deficit in the general fund and a \$5.9 million deficit across total governmental funds. Despite the planned reserve use in 2022 and 2023, management currently projects reserves to be 37.8% of total expenditures at fiscal year-end 2023. We anticipate that the village's budgetary flexibility will remain very strong and above its 20% policy target.

Although the village's debt burden does not materially change with this issuance, overall debt amortization is slower, and thus our view of the village's debt and contingent liability corresponds with a weak debt profile. We understand that the village has significant debt plans during 2024 and 2025, but we don't expect its debt profile will materially weaken with the anticipated issuances. The village also has series 2017 and 2019 term loans and series 2022 bonds that were privately placed. We've reviewed the related debt agreements, and don't believe the series 2022 bond agreement contains permissive provisions that would trigger contingent liquidity risks. Although the series 2017 and 2019 term loan agreements contain acceleration provisions upon an event of default, we believe any potential contingent liquidity risk associated with the \$2.5 million outstanding term loan is sufficiently covered by the village's \$74 million cash position.

The rating reflects our view of the village's:

- Stable and largely residential local economy participating in the broad and diverse Chicago metropolitan statistical area, which is built out and sees its largest gains in equalized assessed value and market value during triennial revaluations;
- Historically positive financial operations supporting healthy budgetary flexibility, although a material reserve drawdown has been planned for capital projects, which will result in operating deficits in the next few years;
- Very strong management under our Financial Management Assessment methodology, including monthly budget-to-actual and investment holdings reports to the village board, a comprehensive five-year financial and capital plan that is updated annually, a formal investment policy, a formal debt policy, and a formal reserve policy of maintaining 20% of general fund expenditures with a goal of 25% for cash flow and emergency contingencies, and, as a home rule community, support from a strong institutional framework score; and
- Weak debt and contingent liability profile, characterized by a manageable debt burden with material additional debt plans and a slower-than-average amortization schedule, a large but still manageable pension liability, and management's plan to fund the police pension plan to 100% by 2038.

Environmental, social, and governance

The rating incorporates our view of the village's environmental, social, and governance risks relative to its economy, management, financial measures, and debt and liability profile, which we view as neutral in our credit rating analysis.

Outlook

The two-year stable outlook reflects our expectation that despite the planned reserve drawdown, the village will sustain a very strong fund balance that will remain above its 20% policy target ratio, and the operating deficits will not exceed what has been anticipated for the next couple of years, supported by the village's robust financial management policies and practices.

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if the village's structural budgetary performance were to weaken beyond what has been planned in the next couple of years and its reserves were to fall below the 20% target ratio.

Upside scenario

Although unlikely given the village's significant planned reserve spending, we could raise the rating if the village's economic metrics improved to levels comparable with those of its higher-rated peers, its debt levels moderated, and available reserves rebounded and were maintained at levels commensurate with a higher rating.

Orland Park, Illinois--key credit metrics

	Most recent	Historical information		
		2021	2020	2019
Very strong economy				
Projected per capita EBI % of U.S.	129			
Market value per capita (\$)	117,539			
Population	59,464	58,879	59,352	59,900
County unemployment rate(%)	5.0			
Market value (\$000)	6,989,340	7,636,002	6,831,675	6,842,568
Ten largest taxpayers % of taxable value	9.7			
Adequate budgetary performance				
Operating fund result % of expenditures		13.6	9.4	7.0
Total governmental fund result % of expenditures		6.6	7.8	6.4
Very strong budgetary flexibility				
Available reserves % of operating expenditures		58.1	49.8	41.1
Total available reserves (\$000)		30,308	22,898	18,665
Very strong liquidity				
Total government cash % of governmental fund expenditures		94	115	91
Total government cash % of governmental fund debt service		2,258	1,111	788
Very strong management				
Financial Management Assessment	Strong			
Weak debt & long-term liabilities				
Debt service % of governmental fund expenditures		4.2	10.3	11.5
Net direct debt % of governmental fund revenue	93			
Overall net debt % of market value	2.8			
Direct debt 10-year amortization (%)	30			
Required pension contribution % of governmental fund expenditures		8.2		
OPEB actual contribution % of governmental fund expenditures		0.0		
Strong institutional framework				

EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits. Data points and ratios may reflect analytical adjustments.

Related Research

- Through The ESG Lens 3.0: The Intersection Of ESG Credit Factors And U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, March 2, 2022
- 2022 Update Of Institutional Framework For U.S. Local Governments

Ratings Detail (As Of October 5, 2023)

Orland Pk Vill GO bnds ser 2022A due 12/01/2047

Long Term Rating AA+/Stable Affirmed

Orland Pk Vill GO bnds ser 2022 due 12/01/2047

Long Term Rating AA+/Stable Affirmed

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.spglobal.com/ratings for further information. Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.spglobal.com/ratings.

Copyright © 2023 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.spglobal.com/ratings (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.spglobal.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.